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# Executive Summary

Try not to exceed one page.

Make sure that what you write really is a summary. It should not be an introduction.

Someone who reads ONLY this section should have an overall impression of all the important things contained in the document. Conversely: someone who reads all the chapters except this one should not miss anything i.e. there should be no information contained in this chapter that is contained only here.

# Document Context

## Role of the Deliverable

 The role of this deliverable is to specify how the implementation of the OLA platform will comply with the security and privacy-related guidelines that were found important within the scope of the preliminary tasks performed in the project. This deliverable is a detailed / deeper view of the security-related components that are already presented in other technical documents.

## Relationship to other Project Deliverables

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Deliv.** | **Relation** |
| D1.4 | Title: D1.4 Ethical, Privacy and Legal ConsiderationsD1.4 is the most fundamental input deliverable for the production of this very document, because it entails the European and country-wide guidelines that are in place for the management of private data, as well as the required security-mechanisms to make sure privacy is maintained. |
| D3.1 | Title: D3.1 Design specification and integrated architectureAlthough D3.1 provides a comprehensive specification for the architecture behind the OLA project, this deliverable will overwrite and fill in the blanks for the security-related components mentioned in that document, as they will be less thoroughly detailed given the existence of this deliverable. Plus, some links with the other components mentioned in D3.1 will also be made here. |

## Target Audience of the Deliverable

The primary audience of this document is the group of technical partners, the team who will do the actual software development work. This document is a public deliverable. Still, it is mainly intended for the project partners and the European Commission services; thus, the document will be made public, but not specifically disseminated on a wider scale.

# Project Description

## General Description

This project aims to provide an answer to the societal challenges by providing an innovative Organizational Life Assistant (OLA), a virtual presence that supports instrumental activities relating to daily living needs of older adults allowing them to be more independent, self-assured and to have a healthier, safer and organized life, while facilitates caregivers by supporting them on offering high-quality assistance

OLA will mediate and facilitate interaction (communication and collaboration) between senior citizens and their informal caregivers or other services or professionals, through technological devices such as standard computers, mobile devices (tablets) and home automation modules. These ICT devices will be based on an innovative multimodal model, embracing various physical/healthy and cognitive characteristics of the older adults and will specifically oriented to increase the level of independence of the elderly, by supporting the possibility of carers' assistance remotely and by improving the accessibility to existing services on the Web, such as shopping on-line services.

Moreover, the OLA will also provide a personalized well-being and safety advices to older users in order to avoid unwanted age related health and safety situations at their own home. Such a well-being and safety advisor makes uses of a combination of collected user information (personal physical/health and cognitive characteristics) and user interaction information extracted through emotion recognition and various sensor settings.

OLA addresses also a major issue that elderly is facing related to memory degradation and gradual decreasing of their cognitive capabilities, enabling them to remember primary health care and fiscal obligations (e.g. personal hygiene, medical and tax compliance) or helping them to find everyday items such as eyeglasses, wallet or keys. It is based on speech dialogue interfaces and space and object reconstruction and classification to capture and store daily routines and their related contexts.

The primary end-users are the big group of older adults of 65+ living alone with or without light physical or cognitive age related limitations, who needs support from care systems. Secondary end-users are both formal and informal caregivers from public or private sectors, supporting them to cope with the increased demand for care.

## System Description

OLA addresses specifically the following main issues:

* **Well-being advisor**: based on the combination of the collected user information (personal, healthy characteristics) and user interaction information extracted through emotion recognition, sensors settings and contextual recorder capturing the routines as done by the older adult) the system will propose to the older adults personal advice adapted to their situation contributing to their preservation and well-being status in home environment. In case of risk (e.g. irregular heart rate, extreme fatigue) the system may ensure an alert to a local medical emergency service.
* **Collaborative care organizer**: based on the ISCTE-IUL and LM knowledge of developing human-computer interaction platforms (HCI), OLA will provide online care collaboration between family and professional caregivers, by enabling a local care network to communicate, access sensor data, and coordinate care tasks. With the OLA assistant, seniors will be able to actively participate in the care organization through voice, even when they are unwilling or unable to use traditional web applications.
* **Safety advisor**: based on the combination of collected user environment information through real-time analysis and augmented reality settings, the system will propose suggestions of environment changes that interfere with accessible paths and provide alerts for intruders or other situations that can create hazard situations. In case of risk (e.g. checking intruders or fire), the system may contact local emergency services.
* **Every day instrumental daily living activities memory support**: the system will anticipate medical and fiscal compliances, remember primary health care and food requirements and could help elderly to find displaced everyday items.
* **Environment analysis**: algorithms for real-time object recognition and scene understanding will be developed based on a number of inputs (i.e. 3D object and space reconstruction by using time-of-flight and augmented reality technology) in order to analyze and decide which action to be taken in order support the elderly by suggesting environment changes and providing hints/advices for safety and accessible environments.
* **Multimodal interaction for elderly**: An adaptive organizational life assistant, a virtual presence will be developed in order to facilitating communication and collaboration between older-adults and informal caregivers or other services or professionals. This will be a user-friendly system that uses multimodal approaches based on non-invasive and minimally obtrusive technologies (i.e. speech, silent speech, touch, gestures, RGB-D sensors).

The overall OLA system is an easy to download and to install software making use of multimodal integrated settings. OLA is in essence a service that enables the elderly user to reduce the demand of care through prevention and self-management, while at the same time also facilitates the supply of formal and informal care assistance.

A series of well-selected use cases where older adults have been supported by caregivers and care professional services will be carried out. Three pilots, in Portugal, Poland and Sweden representing the different use cases will be carried out. 60-90 care units will use the system over a six-month period. New evaluation approaches will be used during the pilots, investigating up to which point the OLA services alleviate caregivers support and maintain, or even improve the self-management, health and safe lifestyle of the older adult at home.

## Status and Future Developments

This first draft of the document accommodates the first version (version a) of the deliverable, whose technical specifications will be implemented once the technical foundation of the project is attained. When that is completed, a second iteration of the content will be provided (version b), with the actual security-related software components produced (and linked with the rest of the platform) and changes that were deemed necessary to employ, deployment-related information, etc.

# Security Specification

The first part of the deliverable will deal with the security-related mechanisms employed into the OLA architecture. Although they are part of the means used to comply with the privacy requirements, they are going to be presented as autonomously as possible, while the next chapter will specifically deal with the privacy-related issues. Also, this chapter will not delve into any security-related mechanisms that may be indirectly present or applied within the scope of the project, such in the usage of sensors / wearables (proprietary security mechanisms), before OLA’s app is opened in the tablet device (mobile operating system security mechanisms), etc.

## Overall Architecture

For an adequate understanding of how the security software components relate to each other and how they are integrated into the rest of the OLA architecture, it’s important to remind the reader of the complete technical diagram of the platform:



In the next diagram, the security-related components are highlighted:



Security is present on the architecture of OLA through the means of three specially-tailored subcomponents, which are present also on different component groups of the overall architecture: Authentication (Front-end), Authorization (Back-end) and Auditing (Data).

## Authentication

This component makes sure that any person interacting with the OLA platform is registered within the system and is indented to actually be using it. This person may pertain to any of the roles foreseen by the project, such as the elderly, an informal caregiver (including family) and formal caregiver. The authentication itself is made through the insertion of two pieces of information: username and password. After this process is cleared, the user is free to use the application within the scope of a session that will always identify him / her as the author of actions made forward, as well as show information that only regards him / her. Following is the information flow of this process:



The steps undertaken are the following:

1. Input credentials

The initial step of the process sees the user input his / her credentials into the login form; since this is a type of interaction the user has with the application, this can be done using a variety of modalities using the interaction framework. After that, the user acknowledges the sending of this information to the server with the purpose of validation and entering the actual homepage.

Technical details:

|  |
| --- |
| The username shall be something somewhat familiar to the user (especially for the elderly with memory issues), but that doesn’t translate directly into actionable information about him / her (such as first + last name). A solid suggestion is for the username to be the internal number associated with that user within OLA (which will have at most 2 digits), or a combination of that with one of the names. Although the username can be the same as that number, as far as the database goes, these are different fields, since the username is kept in an encrypted state. For the (in)formal users of the application, the complexity of the username may be more enforced. This information will be managed by the consortium and provided to the users prior testing. Example: 23.Example: Joana23. |
| The password must have at least 8 characters, contain both letters and numbers, lowercase and uppercase characters, a special character and be kept by the users in a safe place (in case it’s complex enough for an elderly person to remember).Example: Nachos21! |

1. Encrypt and send credentials

Since user data (especially sensible data such as this) will be transmitted through means that unintended people can try to hack into, this information is encrypted prior to be sent in the user device, even if the transmission itself it also protected using other methods (see next).

Technical details:

|  |
| --- |
| The decryption key will be created by the consortium upon the middleware implementation and will be referenced by the source-code.Example: fh3843hg9403j73078j2039734j2 |
| All transmissions between the main app and the back-end are made over an HTTPS connection (using SSL/TLS), which uses a security layer to encrypt the whole data sent back and forth. Only the sender and last destination of the message are able to read its contents, through the means of negotiating the usage of a genuine security certificate, which has to be acquired upon implementation (for example by Symantec).  |

1. Decrypt and verify credentials

The decryption of the overall message happens automatically at the server side (because it will by default possess and acknowledge the same security certificate); however, it still needs to decrypt the actual login data that was encrypted in a special way before (and using the same key). After this, it will consult directly the database to see if there are any username – password matches.

1. Send matching results

The results of the matching process are sent back to the login mechanism on the server-side. These have the format presented next.

Technical details:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Result | True | False |
| User | User information pertinent to create the session:Id, Name, Last Login Date, Usage Token, Usage Token Expiration |

1. Send login package

This step is optional and is only executed if the Result above is true, otherwise an empty login package is sent back to the interface. If a user was found, it’s current usage token (a value that validates its usage of the services for a limited time window) is evaluated in terms of the expiration date. If that date has passed, another one is generated and the database (and login package) updated; if not, the login package is ready to be sent back to the user.

1. Communicate output

The interface receives the output and acts accordingly: if the login is unsuccessful, the procedure is asked to be performed again; if the login is successful, the app caches the login package and enters into the main homepage (where it will load other types of data).

## Authorization

This security mechanism makes sure that accesses to the back-end’s data, for whatever reason they are made, are authorized (using the login package and token information presented earlier). The very access to this security mechanism is also made within the scope of an HTTPS connection and certificate, as already explained. Furthermore, it also checks if the different types of retrieved or queried data are in line with the permissions of the user behind those communications. Following is the checklist made by this component (in which a single failed step cancels the whole request):

1. Check if request possesses token information (this is already after the request is considered valid in its format, otherwise it doesn’t even get to this step and it’s cancelled altogether);
2. Check if token information is valid (matching with database);
3. Check if accessed data pertains to the user impersonated in the request or to a list of people at the care of him / her;
4. Check if the type of access (add, delete, update, etc.) is allowed; for example, only a formal caregiver should be allowed to cancel or deactivate medication intake (check Annex 1 for the complete list of permissions);
5. If the access is of the type “Health Measurements” or “Activity Measurements”, the system will employ an additional checklist to make sure that this data is valid according to the user and hasn’t been tampered with (this checklist is presented next). It’s important to mention that this data was already the subject of the “Physiological Data Preprocessing” client module (not discussed here); however, the lack of compliance of some of the steps of this checklist (especially 2 and 3 below) doesn’t forfeit the actual introduction of the new data but rather the signaling that such information was added under special circumstances that need to be analyzed (this accounts for when the communication capabilities of the devices fail or when the user feels that an extra measurements is necessary, for example);
6. Perform the actual request.

Measurements Security Checklist:

1. If the measurement comes from a sensor:
	1. Check if the sensor pertains to that person;
	2. Check if the sensor is currently activated / being used;
	3. Check if the health parameter can be measured by that sensor.
2. Check if the health parameter is currently being recorded;
3. Check if the moment of measurement coincides with the scheduled, given a time margin for error (1 hour).

Data structures being monitored:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Users (2) | Id, Usage Toke, Role Id |
| Care Relationships (2,3) | Caretaker Id and Cared Id |
| Security Permissions (4) | Role Id, Resource Type Id, Permissions |
| User Sensors (5) | Sensor Id, User Id, Status |
| User Parameters (5) | User Id, Parameter Id |
| Sensor Parameters (5) | Sensor Id, Parameter Id |
| Sensors (5) | Sensor Id, Sensor Serial Number |
| Scheduled Measurement (5) | User Id, Parameter Id, Date, Time |

Resource Types

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Appointments |
| 2 | Medication |
| 3 | Diseases |
| 4 | Demographics |
| 5 | Health Measurements |
| 6 | Activity Measurements |
| 7 | Contacts |
| 8 | Notes |
| 9 | Location |
| 10 | Help Registry |
| 11 | Other Reminders |

Roles

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | Elderly |
| 2 | Informal caregiver | family |
| 3 | Formal caregiver |

Permissions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 | INSERT |
| 2 | UPDATE |
| 3 | DELETE |
| 4 | SELECT |

## Auditing

This mechanism exists within the back-end part of the framework to register all of the accesses made to the platform’s data, i.e. functionally it is executed after the Authorization step is cleared (this means that unintended accesses are not saved). Auditing allows the OLA technical partners to analyze and monitor if all accesses are made within the assumed normal security conditions of the environment it is put in, while also to comply with any data requests made by the stakeholders / users (for example to check if a particular data was inserted manually or through a sensor) and legal requirements. Following is the data structure of the auditing information:

|  |
| --- |
| Id |
| Timestamp |
| User Id |
| Secondary User Id (only used if an access is made towards data of another user) |
| Resource Type Id |
| Resource Id |
| Access Type Id |
| Automatic Id (only used if the access is made through an automatic agent, such as a sensor) |

# Privacy Specification

For the privacy compliance inside the OLA project, this deliverable will resort to the analysis made within the scope of task T1.4 - Ethical, Privacy and Legal Considerations, whose deliverable contains the mechanisms necessary to be implemented or somehow followed for the safekeeping of the user’s privacy. With that said and from the output of that task, here are the technical means that will be employed specifically towards the compliance of privacy guidelines:

**The separation between demographic data and health data**

Legislation regarding this topic mentions that this separation needs to be “logical”, which is an open concept to say the least. What is clear from reading those articles, however, is that when accessing health-related records, they cannot be easily linked with the owner of those records in the same view / data structure; this translates the separation into a more actionable task. For that purpose, in OLA all of the health-related data structures (database tables) will not include any demographic data whatsoever, being tied to the people using an unrecognizable identifier known only to the system. Here’s an example of a data structure for blood pressure:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Id | 3c003491-58dc-4788-9312-3f03e2f85994 |
| User Id | 34 (or a GUID too) |
| Value1 | 117 |
| Value2 | 76 |
| Timestamp | 2016-08-03T15:58:45+00:00 |
| Automatic Id | 3c003491-58dc-4788-9312-3f03e2f85993 |
| Parameter Type ID | 6 |

As can be seen above, a look through any health register such as this doesn’t provide any information whatsoever about the user behind that information. Next is an example of the demographic record of a user:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Id | 34 (or a GUID too) |
| Age | 67 |
| Name | Maria |
| Gender | Female |
| Location | Lisboa |

Besides the fact that, in terms of purely-demographic-related information, the project doesn’t need to have access to a lot of data (other information, such as allergies and mobility impairments, are not considered demographic), it can also be seen above that a read through an elderly record such as this doesn’t provide enough information to unique identify anyone. To further display how private data is not used or even retrieved from all devices used in the project, Annex 2 provides a complete list of data structures transferred from hardware models.

**The anonymization of the health data**

Since demographic data is a means to identify someone and above it was already shown how the project separates the two types of information, this requirement is achieved likewise using the aforementioned method. It’s also important to clearly state that, besides demographic data (which will be marginally used anyway), not any type of uniquely-identifiable code of number will be used, such as identity card, IRS number, social security number, healthcare number, etc.

**The application of security mechanisms for the transmission of data across the wire, wirelessly or using public networks (such as encryption)**

This was already discussed before as part of the default security mechanisms of the platform.

**The application of specific security criteria for the usage of passwords**

This was already discussed before as part of the default security mechanisms of the platform. However, it needs to be disclosed that the specific criteria that is demanded by some of the legislation was tighter than we had anticipated (and originally had created), so we had to change:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Original criteria for passwords | Required criteria for passwords |
| 8 characters | 8 characters |
| Numbers and letters | Numbers and letters |
|  | Lowercase and uppercase letters |
|  | Special characters |

**The application of backup strategies**

The backup of the information being managed by the OLA platform is a feature that is automatically performed by the infrastructure where it will be hosted (Microsoft Azure); along with that process, other advantages or features are witnessed that are related to the privacy aspect of the project:

* Lack of (or extremely secured) physical access to servers (thus turning obsolete all physically-related privacy guidelines);
* Automatic replication / farming of data across servers from all around the world (relates to this very mechanism being discussed);
* Logging of all accesses made through web interface (it’s not the intended way for users to access their data);
* Optimal record of uptime, fault-free environment and recoverability.

**The application of logging / auditing strategies**

Similar in nature, the Auditing security specification presented above already accounts for this requirement. However, logging procedures (identifying the user responsible for that action) will be implemented all-around within the software, but especially in the entry and exit points of components; following are some of the scenarios foreseen:

* Navigation between app sections;
* Calls made to the back-end services;
* Speech-recognition attempts;
* Sensor measurements;
* Access to health-related information.

**The naming of an administrator of information security / data controller**

The Polish guidelines state that endeavors such as OLA, which have access to and process private data, should assign two types of agents:

* A data controller, which will be responsible for the acquisition of the data (in case of manual modes), the presentation of the informed consents and communication with the end-users. Within the project OLA, these data controllers will be the pilot managers within the scope of each geographical territory where trials will be run: Portugal, Poland and Sweden. The particular person will be appointed closer to the execution of the pilots;
* A head of information security, responsible for enforcing all of the security-related mechanisms presented in this deliverable (or technically delegating such tasks). Considering the expertise for the production and leadership of this task and prior experience, Bruno Coelho is appointed for this role.

# Conclusion

The team behind OLA feels that the aforementioned security and privacy-related specifications adequately cater and fulfill the legal requirements that were previously researched. However, upon implementation of these procedures some changes can be witnessed, which can slightly change some of these assumptions or type of mechanisms employed (without of course failing to comply with the requirements); those possible changes will be recorded on the final version of this deliverable, that will accompany the security software piece. Plus, and as part of the continuous monitoring of the ethical part of the project (task T1.4), updates to the underlying legislation may trigger modifications on this very deliverable too.
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# Annexes

## Annex 1 – Data Access Permission Table

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Role** | **Resource**  | **Permission** |
| Elderly | Appointments | INSERTSELECT |
|  | Medication | SELECT |
|  | Diseases | SELECT |
|  | Demographics (only UPDATE and SELECT are applicable here) | SELECT |
|  | Health Measurements | INSERTSELECT |
|  | Activity Measurements | INSERTSELECT |
|  | Contacts | INSERTSELECT |
|  | Notes | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Location | SELECT |
|  | Help Registry | INSERTSELECT |
|  | Other Reminders | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
| Informal caregiver | family | Appointments | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Medication | SELECT |
|  | Diseases | SELECT |
|  | Demographics (only UPDATE and SELECT are applicable here) | UPDATESELECT |
|  | Health Measurements | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Activity Measurements | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Contacts | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Notes | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Location | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Help Registry | INSERTSELECT |
|  | Other Reminders | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
| Formal caregiver | Appointments | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Medication | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Diseases | INSERTUPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Demographics (only UPDATE and SELECT are applicable here) | UPDATESELECT |
|  | Health Measurements | DELETESELECT |
|  | Activity Measurements | DELETESELECT |
|  | Contacts | SELECT |
|  | Notes | SELECT |
|  | Location | SELECT |
|  | Help Registry | UPDATEDELETESELECT |
|  | Other Reminders | SELECT |

## Annex 2 – Sensor Data Structures [2]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Hardware** | **Data Structures** |
| RGB-D CameraModels: Microsoft KinectOne | DATETIMEVISUAL AND GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF THE PREMISES OF THE USER (without the presence of any humans)GESTURES (discarded upon translation into commands) |
| ECGModels: to be developed. | DATETIMEP WAVEPR INTERVALQRS COMPLEXJ-POINTST SEGMENTT WAVECORRECTED QT INTERVALU WAVE |
| Weight ScaleModels: Taidoc TD-2551 | DATETIMEWEIGHT |
| Blood PressureModels: PressureTel, Taidoc TD-3250H, iHealth Wireless Blood Pressure Monitor BP5, Beurer BM70 | DATETIMESYSTOLICDIASTOLICPULSE RATE |
| GlucoseModels: Taidoc TD-3250H, iHealth Wireless Smart Gluco-Monitoring System BG5 | DATETIMEGLUCOSE |
| OximetryModels: NONIN OnyxII SPO2, iHealth Wireless Pulse Oximeter PO3 | DATETIMEPULSE OXIMETRY (SO2) |
| Body BalanceModels: to be developed. | DATETIMECENTER OF GRAVITY SAMPLE RATE |
| Microphone / HeadsetModels: not applicable\*. | DATETIMEAUDIO CLIP (discarded upon translation into text) |
| Camera (regular)Models: not applicable\*. | DATETIMEVISUAL FEED (is used in real-time for security and communication purposes, but not recorded due to storage space issues) |
| Eye trackerModels:  | DATETIMEGAZE POSITION (discarded upon translation into screen region) |
| Keyboard / Mouse / MultitouchModels: not applicable\*. | NOT APPLICABLE |
| Multisensorial WearableModels: Microsoft Band 2 | DATE TIMEHEART RATE (OPTICAL)CALORIES BURNEDNUMBER OF STEPS TAKENATMOSPHERIC PRESSUREGEO POSITIONSDISTANCESPEEDCONDUCTIVITY OF SKINUTRAVIOLET LIGHT LEVEL |

\*Not applicable means that